arXiv:1409.1423v1 [math.CV] 4 Sep 2014

The Jacobian Conjecture for the space of all the inner functions

Ronen Peretz

September 5, 2014

Abstract

We prove the Jacobian Conjecture for the space of all the inner functions in the unit disc.

1 Known facts

Definition 1.1. Let B_F be the set of all the finite Blaschke products defined on the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |z| < 1\}$.

Theorem A. $f(z) \in B_F \Leftrightarrow \exists\, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\forall\, w \in \mathbb{D}$ the equation $f(z) = w$ has exactly n solutions $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ in $\mathbb{D}$, counting multiplicities.

That follows from [1] on the bottom of page 1.

Theorem B. $(B_F, \circ)$ is a semigroup under composition of mappings.

That follows by Theorem 1.7 on page 5 of [2].

Theorem C. If $f(z) \in B_F$ and if $f'(z) \neq 0\ \forall\, z \in \mathbb{D}$ then

$$f(z) = \lambda \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \overline{\alpha} z}$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ and some unimodular λ, $|\lambda| = 1$, i.e. $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$.

For that we can look at Remark 1.2(b) on page 2, and remark 3.2 on page 14 of [2]. Also we can look at Theorem A on page 3 of [3].

2 introduction

We remark that the last theorem (Theorem C) could be thought of, as the (validity of) Jacobian Conjecture for B_F. This result is, perhaps, not surprising in view of the characterization in Theorem A above of members of B_F (This is, in fact Theorem B on page 2 of [1]. This result is due to Fatou and to Rado). For in the classical Jacobian Conjecture one knows of a parallel result, namely:

If $F \in \text{et}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and if $d_F(w) = |\{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, F(z) = w\}|$ is a constant N (independent of $w \in \mathbb{C}^2$), then $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (because F is a proper mapping). Thus we are led to the following,

Definition 2.1. Let V_F be the set of all holomorphic $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, such that $\exists\, N = N_f \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (depending on f) for which $d_f(w) = |\{z \in \mathbb{D} \,|\, f(z) = w\}|$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$, satisfies $d_f(w) \leq N_f \;\forall\, w \in \mathbb{D}$.

We ask if the following is true:

$$f \in V_F,\ f'(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D} \Rightarrow f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}).$$

The answer is negative. For example, we can take $f(z) = z/2$. So we modify the question:

$$f \in V_F,\ f'(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D} \Rightarrow f(z) \text{ is injective.}$$

This could be written, alternatively as follows:

$$f \in V_F,\ f'(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D} \Rightarrow \forall\, w \in \mathbb{D},\ d_f(w) \leq 1.$$

Also the answer to this question is negative. For we can take $f(z) = 10^{-10}e^{10z}$ which will satisfy the condition $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ because of the tiny factor 10^{-10}, while clearly $f \in V_F$ and $f'(z) \neq 0 \;\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D}$. But $d_f(w)$ can be as large as

$$\left[\frac{2}{2\pi/10}\right] = \left[\frac{10}{\pi}\right] = 3.$$

Thus we again need to modify the question (in order to get a more interesting result). It is not clear if the right assumption should include surjectivity or almost surjectivity. Say,

$$f \in V_F,\ f'(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D}, \text{meas}(\mathbb{D} - f(\mathbb{D})) = 0 \Rightarrow f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}),$$

where meas(A) is the Lebesgue measure of the Lebesgue measurable set A. Or maybe,

$$f \in V_F,\ f'(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D},\ \lim_{r \to 1^-} |f(re^{i\theta})| = 1 \text{ a.e. in } \theta \Rightarrow f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D}).$$

This last question could be rephrased as follows:

$$f \in V_F,\ f'(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D},\ f \text{ is an inner function} \Rightarrow f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D}).$$

3 The main result

We can answer the two last questions that were raised in the previous section. We start by answering affirmatively the last question.

Theorem 3.1. *If $f \in V_F$, $f'(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D}$, f is an inner function, then $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof.
We recall the following result,

Theorem. Every inner function is a uniform limit of Blaschke products.

We refer to the theorem on page 175 of [4]. Let $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of Blaschke products that uniformly converge to f. Since $f \in V_F$ there exist a natural number N_f such that $d_f(w) \leq N_f \ \forall\, w \in \mathbb{D}$. By Hurwitz Theorem we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{B_n}(w) = d_f(w) \ \forall\, w \in \mathbb{D}$ and hence $d_{B_n}(w) = d_f(w)$ for $n \geq n_w$. We should note that n_w depends on w but it is a constant in a neighborhood of the point w. Hence the Blaschke products in the tail subsequence $\{B_n\}_{n \geq n_w}$ all have a finite valence which is bounded from above by $d_f(w)$ at the point w. In particular, the valence of these finite Blaschke products are bounded from above by the number N_f in definition 2.1 (of the set V_F). Hence we can extract a subsequence of these Blaschke products that have one and the same number of zeroes. Again by the Hurwitz Theorem it follows that $d_f(w) = N$ is a constant, independent of w, and so by Theorem A in section 1 we conclude that $f(z)$ is a finite Blaschke product with exactly N zeroes. By Theorem C in section 1 we conclude (using the assumption that $f'(z) \neq 0$, $\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D}$) that $f(z) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. □

Next, we answer negatively the one before the last question.

Theorem 3.2. *There exist functions $f \in V_F$ that satisfy $f'(z) \neq 0 \ \forall\, z \in \mathbb{D}$ and also* meas$(\mathbb{D} - f(\mathbb{D})) = 0$ *such that $f \notin \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. In fact, we can construct such functions that will not be surjective and not injective.*

Proof.
Consider the domain $\Omega = \mathbb{D} - \{x \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, 0 \leq x < 1\}$. Then Ω is the unit disc with a slit along the non-negative x-axis. It is a simply connected domain. Let $g : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ be a Riemann mapping (i.e. it is holomorphic and conformal. Finally, let $k \geq 2$ any natural integer and define $f = g^k$. This gives the desired function. $\square$

Can the result in Theorem 3.1 be generalized to higher complex dimensions? We make the obvious:

Definition 3.3. Let $V_F(n)$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$ be the set of all the holomorphic $f : \mathbb{D}^n \to \mathbb{D}^n$, such that $\exists\, N = N_f$ (depending on f) for which $d_f(w) = |\{z \in \mathbb{D}^n \,|\, f(z) = w\}|$, $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ satisfies $d_f(w) \leq N_f \;\forall\, a \in \mathbb{D}^n$.

We ask if the following assertion holds true:

If $f \in V_F(n)$ satisfies $\det J_f(z) \neq 0 \,\forall\, z \in \mathbb{D}^n$ and also $\lim_{r \to 1^-} |f(re^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, re^{i\theta_n})| = 1$ a.e. in $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ then $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D}^n)$.

References

[1] Emmanuel Fricain, Javad Mashreghi, On a characterzation of finite Blaschke products.

[2] Daniela Kraus and Oliver Roth, Maximal Blaschke products, 2013.

[3] Daniela Kraus and Oliver Roth, Critical points of inner functions, nonlinear partial differential equations, and an extension of Liouville's Theorem.

[4] Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions, by Kenneth Hoffman, Prentice-Hall, inc. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.

Ronen Peretz
Department of Mathematics
Ben Gurion University of the Negev
Beer-Sheva , 84105
Israel
E-mail: ronenp@math.bgu.ac.il